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## Introduction

Agenda

- Study of cutting-plane algorithms that add valid inequalities to the linear relaxation until an integer solution is obtained.
- Gomory cuts, which can be applied to any integer linear program (or mixed-integer).
- Cutes that are specialized for specific problems.


## Introduction to Cutting Planes

Integer Problem
The integer problem its general form:
IP : $\quad \max \left\{c^{\top} x: x \in X\right\}, \quad$ where $X=\left\{x: A x \leqslant b, x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n}\right\}$

Proposition
$\operatorname{conv}(X)=\{x: \tilde{A} x \leqslant \tilde{b}, x \geqslant 0\}$ is a polyhedron.
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## Introduction to Cutting Planes

- The result above states that $I P$ can be reformulated as a linear programming problem:

$$
L P: \quad \max \left\{c^{\top} x: \tilde{A} x \leqslant \tilde{b}, x \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

- Notice that any extreme point of this $L P$ is an optimal solution of $I P$.
- For some problems, such as the network flow problem, a complete description of $\operatorname{conv}(X)$ is known.


## Introduction to Cutting Planes

- In general, and particularly for NP-Hard problems, there is no hope of finding a complete description of $\operatorname{conv}(X)$.
- In other situations, such a description can contain an exponential number of constraints/inequalities.
- Given an NP-Hard problem, here the concern is on finding an approximation for $\operatorname{conv}(X)$.
- An approximation will be constructed gradually, by adding valid and nontrivial inequalities, preferably inequalities that touch the polyhedron that describes conv $(X)$.
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Valid Inequalities

An inequality $\pi^{T} x \leqslant \pi_{0}$ is valid for $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ if $\pi^{T} x \leqslant \pi_{0}$ for all $x \in X$.

Questions
Some issues come up:
a) Which inequalities are "useful?"
b) If know a family of valid inequalities for a given problem, how can we use them effectively?
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# Introduction to Cutting Planes 

Topics
Examples of valid inequalities expressing logic conditions will be presented.

## Pure 0-1 Set

- The feasible set $X$ of solution for a $0-1$ knapsack problem is given by:

$$
X=\left\{x \in B^{5}: 3 x_{1}-4 x_{2}+2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}+x_{5} \leqslant-2\right\}
$$

- For $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$, we have the inequality:
which becomes impossible to meet.
- Thus, we conclude that solution must satisfy:
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## Pure 0-1 Set

- The feasible set $X$ of solution for a $0-1$ knapsack problem is given by:

$$
X=\left\{x \in B^{5}: 3 x_{1}-4 x_{2}+2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}+x_{5} \leqslant-2\right\}
$$

- For $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$, we have the inequality:

$$
3 x_{1}+2 x_{3}+x_{5} \leqslant-2
$$

which becomes impossible to meet.

- Thus, we conclude that solution must satisfy:

$$
x_{2}+x_{4} \geqslant 1
$$

## Pure 0-1 Set

- If $x_{1}=1$ e $x_{2}=0$, the following inequality results:

$$
2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}+x_{5} \leqslant-5
$$

which cannot be satisfied.

- Thus:
is a valid inequality, which can be introduced in the formulation of $X$.


## Pure 0-1 Set

- If $x_{1}=1$ e $x_{2}=0$, the following inequality results:

$$
2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}+x_{5} \leqslant-5
$$

which cannot be satisfied.

- Thus:

$$
x_{1} \leqslant x_{2}
$$

is a valid inequality, which can be introduced in the formulation of $X$.

## Pure 0-1 Set

From the above deductions, we can propose a revised formulation for the problem at hand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X=\left\{x \in B^{5}:\right. & 3 x_{1}-4 x_{2}+2 x_{3}-3 x_{4}+x_{5} \leqslant-2 \\
& x_{2}+x_{4} \geqslant 1 \\
& \left.x_{1} \leqslant x_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mixed-Integer 0-1 Set

- A example of mixed-integer (continuous and discrete) set of solutions $X$ is:

$$
X=\{(x, y): x \leqslant 9999 y, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 5, y \in \mathbb{B}\}
$$

- It is easy to verify the validity of the inequality $x \leqslant 5 y$.
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## Mixed-Integer 0-1 Set

- Consider the set:

$$
X=\left\{(x, y): 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 10 y, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 14, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\}
$$

- We can verify the validity of the inequality:

$$
x \leqslant 14-4(2-y)
$$

LExamples of Valid Inequalities
$\square_{\text {Mixed-Integer 0-1 Set }}$

## Mixed-Integer 0-1 Set



## Combinatorial Set

Let $X$ be the set of incidence vectors for the matching problem:

$$
X=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{|E|}: \sum_{e \in \delta(i)} x_{e} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } i \in V\right\}
$$

where:

- $G=(V, E)$ is an undirected graph;
- $\delta(i)=\{e \in E: e=(i, j)$ for some $j \in V\}$.


## Combinatorial Set

- Let $T \subseteq V$ be any edge set of odd cardinality.
- The number of edges having both ends in $T$ is at most $(|T|-1) / 2$, therefore we obtain the inequality:

$$
\sum_{e \in E(T)} x_{e} \leqslant \frac{|T|-1}{2}
$$

## Combinatorial Set

- $\operatorname{conv}(X)$ can be obtained by adding all inequalities of the family above.
- That is, $\operatorname{conv}(X)$ is precisely the polyhedron given by:



## Combinatorial Set

- $\operatorname{conv}(X)$ can be obtained by adding all inequalities of the family above.
- That is, $\operatorname{conv}(X)$ is precisely the polyhedron given by:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{|E|}:\right. & \sum_{e \in \delta(i)} x_{e} \leqslant 1 & \forall i \in V \\
& \left.\sum_{e \in E(T)} x_{e} \leqslant \frac{|T|-1}{2} \quad \forall T \subseteq V,|T| \text { odd and }|T| \geqslant 3\right\}
\end{array}
$$

LExamples of Valid Inequalities
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## Integer Rounding

- Consider the regions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mathrm{e} \\
P & =\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{4}: 13 x_{1}+20 x_{2}+11 x_{3}+6 x_{4} \geqslant 72\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Diving the inequality by 11 , we obtain the following inequality valid



## Integer Rounding

- Consider the regions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{4} \mathrm{e} \\
P & =\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{4}: 13 x_{1}+20 x_{2}+11 x_{3}+6 x_{4} \geqslant 72\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Diving the inequality by 11 , we obtain the following inequality valid for $P$ :

$$
\frac{13}{11} x_{1}+\frac{20}{11} x_{2}+\frac{11}{11} x_{3}+\frac{6}{11} x_{4} \geqslant \frac{72}{11}
$$

## Integer Rounding

- Since $x \geqslant 0$, we can round the coefficients of $x$ to the nearest integer:

| $\left\lceil\frac{13}{11}\right\rceil x_{1}$ | $+\left\lceil\frac{20}{11}\right\rceil x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}+\left\lceil\frac{6}{11}\right\rceil x_{4}$ | $\geqslant \frac{72}{11}$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 x_{1}$ | $+2 x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}+x_{4}$ | $\geqslant \frac{72}{11}$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| $2 x_{1}$ | $+2 x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}+x_{4}$ | $\geqslant\left\lceil\frac{72}{11}\right\rceil$ | $\Rightarrow$ |
| $2 x_{1}$ | $+2 x_{2}$ | $+x_{3}+x_{4}$ | $\geqslant 7$ |  |

- Notice that an integer greater or equal to $6+\frac{6}{11}$ must be greater or equal to 7 .


## Integer Rounding

- Since $x \geqslant 0$, we can round the coefficients of $x$ to the nearest integer:

- Notice that an integer greater or equal to $6+\frac{6}{11}$ must be greater or equal to 7 .


## Mixed-Integer Rounding

- Consider the example above with the addition of a continuous variable.
- Let $X=P \cap\left(\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ where:

$$
P=\left\{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}: 13 y_{1}+20 y_{2}+11 y_{3}+6 y_{4}+s \geqslant 72\right\}
$$

- Dividing the inequality by 11 , we obtain



## Mixed-Integer Rounding

- Consider the example above with the addition of a continuous variable.
- Let $X=P \cap\left(\mathbb{Z}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ where:

$$
P=\left\{(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}: 13 y_{1}+20 y_{2}+11 y_{3}+6 y_{4}+s \geqslant 72\right\}
$$

- Dividing the inequality by 11 , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{13}{11} y_{1}+\frac{20}{11} y_{2}+\frac{11}{11} y_{3}+\frac{6}{11} y_{4}+\frac{s}{11} & \geqslant \frac{72}{11} \Rightarrow \\
\frac{13}{11} y_{1}+\frac{20}{11} y_{2}+\frac{11}{11} y_{3}+\frac{6}{11} y_{4} & \geqslant \frac{72-s}{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Mixed-Integer Rounding

- We can observe that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 y_{1}+2 y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4} \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{72}{11}\right\rceil=7 \\
& 2 y_{1}+2 y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4} \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{72-6}{11}\right\rceil=6 \quad \text { se } s=0 \\
& \text { se } s=6
\end{aligned}
$$

- This suggest the following valid inequality:

$$
2 y_{1}+2 y_{2}+y_{3}+y_{4}+\alpha s \geqslant 7
$$

for some $\alpha$.
The above inequality is valid for $\alpha \geqslant \frac{1}{6}$.
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## Theory of Valid Inequalities

The concepts on valid inequalities will be investigated in more depth.

## Valid Inequalities for Linear Programs

- Consider the polyhedron:

$$
P=\{x: A x \leqslant b, x \geqslant 0\}
$$

and the inequality inequality:

$$
\pi^{T} x \leqslant \pi_{0}
$$

- Is the inequality $\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right)$ valid for $P$ ?
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## Valid Inequalities for Linear Programs

Proposition
$\pi^{T} x \leqslant \pi_{0}$ is valid for $P=\{x: A x \leqslant b, x \geqslant 0\} \neq \emptyset$ if, and only if,
a) there exists $u \geqslant 0$ and $v \geqslant 0$ such that $u^{T} A-v^{T}=\pi^{T}$ and $u^{T} b \leqslant \pi_{0}$, or
b) there exists $u \geqslant 0$ such that $u^{T} A \geqslant \pi^{T}$ and $u^{T} b \leqslant \pi_{0}$

## Valid Inequalities for Linear Programs

Proof (b)
If there exists $u \geqslant 0$ such that $u^{T} A \geqslant \pi^{T}$ and $u^{T} b \leqslant \pi_{0}$, then any $x \in P$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A x \leqslant b & \Rightarrow u^{T} A x \leqslant u^{T} b \\
& \Rightarrow \pi^{T} x \leqslant u^{T} A x \leqslant u^{T} b \leqslant \pi_{0} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right) \text { is a valid inequality. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Valid Inequalities for Integer Programs

Proposition
The inequality $y \leqslant\lfloor b\rfloor$ is valid for $X=\{y \in \mathbb{Z}: y \leqslant b\}$.

## Valid Inequalities for Integer Programs

## Example

We can use the proposition above to generate valid inequalities for the polyhedron given by the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
7 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & \leqslant 14 \\
x_{2} & \leqslant 3 \\
2 x_{1}-2 x_{2} & \leqslant 3 \\
x & \geqslant 0, \quad x \text { integer }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Valid Inequalities for Integer Programs

Example
i) Multiplying the constraint by a vector of nonnegative values $u=\left(\frac{2}{7}, \frac{37}{63}, 0\right)$, we obtain a valid inequality:

$$
2 x_{1}+\frac{1}{63} x_{2} \leqslant \frac{121}{21}
$$

ii) Reducing the coefficients on the left-hand size to the nearest integer, we obtain:


## Valid Inequalities for Integer Programs

Example
i) Multiplying the constraint by a vector of nonnegative values $u=\left(\frac{2}{7}, \frac{37}{63}, 0\right)$, we obtain a valid inequality:

$$
2 x_{1}+\frac{1}{63} x_{2} \leqslant \frac{121}{21}
$$

ii) Reducing the coefficients on the left-hand size to the nearest integer, we obtain:

$$
2 x_{1}+0 x_{2} \leqslant \frac{121}{21}
$$

## Valid Inequalities for Integer Programs

Example
iii) Since the left-hand size assumes integer values, we can reduce the right-hand side to the nearest integer, leading to another inequality:

$$
2 x_{1} \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{121}{21}\right\rfloor=5 \Rightarrow x_{1} \leqslant \frac{5}{2} \Rightarrow x_{1} \leqslant 2
$$

## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

- The CG (Chvátal-Gomory) procedure formalizes the steps followed about to genera all valid inequalities of an integer program.
- Let $X=P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be a set of solutions where: - $P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}: A x \leqslant b\right\}$ is a polyhedron, and - $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a matrix with coluns $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$
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- The CG (Chvátal-Gomory) procedure formalizes the steps followed about to genera all valid inequalities of an integer program.
- Let $X=P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be a set of solutions where:
- $P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}: A x \leqslant b\right\}$ is a polyhedron, and
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## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Given $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$, the procedure consists of the following steps:
Step 1: the inequality:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} u^{T} a_{j} x_{j} \leqslant u^{T} b
$$

is valid for $P$ because $u \geqslant 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} x_{j} \leqslant b$.

## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Step 2: The inequality:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\lfloor u^{T} a_{j}\right\rfloor x_{j} \leqslant u^{T} b
$$

is valid for $P$ since $x \geqslant 0$.

## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Step 3: The inequality

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\lfloor u^{T} a_{j}\right\rfloor x_{j} \leqslant\left\lfloor u^{T} b\right\rfloor
$$

is valid for $P$ since $x$ is integer and further because

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\lfloor u^{T} a_{j}\right\rfloor x_{j}
$$

is integer.

## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

## Important

The fact that the CG procedure can yield all valid inequalities of an integer program is of major relevance.

## Chvátal-Gomory Procedure

Theorem
Every valid inequality for $X$ can be obtained by application of a finite number of the Chvátal-Gomory procedure.

## Cutting Planes

- Thank you for attending this lecture!!!

